Appendix 3.7-B
Comparison of Impacts on Biological
Resources by Alternative

Explanation of the Impact Comparison Tables

For each biological resource type (e.g., special-status plant species, special-status wildlife species), a table has been produced to report the acres of impact resulting from construction and project-related activities. The tables are formatted as follows:

- The column labeled "BNSF Impact Acreage" presents the acres of impact for the entire BNSF Alternative.
- The columns labeled as alternative alignments segments (e.g., Hanford West Bypass 1—At-grade) present two values for each alternative alignment. The value to the left of the slash is the acres of impact within that alternative alignment alone. The number to the right of the slash represents the "Difference Compared with Corresponding BNSF Area." This value represents the difference in impact acreages between an alternative alignment and its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative. Positive (+) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in a greater number of impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative. Negative (-) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in a smaller number of impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative.

Comparison of Impacts on Special-Status Plant Species by Alternative

						High-Sp	eed Train Altern	atives				
Special-Status Plant			Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco-Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid
Species (Common Name/ Scientific Name/Status)	Impact Type	BNSF Impact Acreage				Difference	Impact Ace Compared to Co	creage / orresponding BNS	F Area ^a			
Heartscale	Project	0.67	_	_	_		_	0.004 / +0.004	— / -0.63		_	_
<i>Atriplex cordulata</i> CNPS 1B.2	Construction	-	_	-	-	-	_	-		-		
Little mouse tail	Project	0.48					_	=	— / -0.21			
<i>Myosurus minimus</i> ssp. <i>apus</i> CNPS 3.1	Construction	=		_	=		_	_				
Unsurveyed potential suitable habitat that could support	Project	401.31	42.94 / +0.56	50.96 / +8.59	55.26 / +12.88	88.31 / +45.94	125.98 / +88.00	112.25 / +74.27	159.71 / -45.13	32.48 / +9.98	42.65 / +11.69	39.64 / +8.68
special-status plant species	Construction	241.47	15.13 / +11.36	10.43 / +6.65	31.20 / +27.43	8.64 / +4.86	2.63 / -2.47	9.86 / +4.76	64.97 / +60.56	24.95 / +14.33	202.03 / -6.71	205.05 / -3.68
Total Impacts	Project	402.45	42.94 / +0.56	50.96 / +8.59	55.26 / +12.88	88.31 / +45.94	125.99 / +88.01	112.25 / +74.27	159.71 / -45.97	32.48 / +9.98	42.65 / +11.69	39.64 / +8.68
	Construction	241.47	15.13 / +11.36	10.43 / +6.65	31.20 / +27.43	8.64 / +4.86	2.63 / -2.47	9.86 / +4.76	64.97 / +60.56	24.95 / +14.33	202.03 / -6.71	205.05 / -3.68

Notes

— = No impact or not applicable

^a The "Difference Compared to Corresponding BNSF Area" represents the difference in impact acreages between an alternative alignment and its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative: positive (+) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in greater impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative; negative (-) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in fewer impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative.

Impact calculations in this table include alignment alternatives and station alternatives, but do not include HMF alternatives.

All impacts were calculated based on 15% engineering design construction footprint.

CNPS Status

1B: Rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere

- 2: Rare, threatened, or endangered in California, but more common elsewhere
- 3: More information is needed
- 4: Limited distribution or infrequent throughout California
 - 0.1: Seriously endangered in California
 - 0.2: Fairly endangered in California0.3: Not very endangered in California
- bhraviations:

Abbreviations:

CNPS = California Native Plant Society

Attachment 2
Comparison of Impacts on Special-Status Wildlife Species by Alternative

							High-Speed T	rain Alternativ	ves				
Special-Status Wildlife Species (Common Name/Scientific	Community or Wildlife		BNSF Impact	Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco- Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid
Name/Status)	Association	Impact Type	Acreage			Impact	Acreage / Differe	ence Compare	d to Correspon	nding BNSF Are	ea ^a		
Federally and State								T					
Vernal pool fairy shrimp	Vernal pools/seasonal	Project	16.49	0.01 / +0.01	0.27 / +0.27		0.27 / +0.27	1.21 / -0.39	1.34 / -0.27	6.02 / -7.1		0.51 / -0.11	0.51 / -0.11
	wetlands	Construction	1.73	0.05 / +0.05	=	0.04 / +0.04	=	1.91 / +1.24	0.07 / -0.61	0.03 / -0.55		-	_
FT		Indirect	98.81	1.11 / +0.69	1.23 / +0.81	1.12 / +0.70	1.22 / +0.80	6.68 / -0.84	1.34 / -6.18	31.45 / -48.91	-	0.09 / -0.04	0.09 / -0.04
Valley elderberry	Elderberry shrubs	Project	1	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —
longhorn beetle (Desmocerus californicus dimorphus) FT	(<i>Sambucus</i> spp.)	Construction		(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —	(P) —
Vernal pool tadpole	Vernal	Project	16.49	0.01 / +0.01	0.27 / +0.27	=	0.27 / +0.27	1.21 / -0.39	1.34 / -0.27	6.02 / -7.1	_	0.51 / -0.11	0.51 / -0.11
shrimp (<i>Lepidurus packardi</i>)	pools/seasonal wetlands	Construction	1.73	0.05 / +0.05	-	0.04 / +0.04	-	1.91 / +1.24	0.07 / -0.61	0.03 / -0.55		-	-
FE	, rotta.rus	Indirect	98.81	1.11 / +0.69	1.23 / +0.81	1.12 / +0.70	1.22 / +0.80	6.68 / -0.84	1.34 / -6.18	31.45 / -48.91	_	0.09 / -0.04	0.09 / -0.04
California tiger	Aquatic: man-	Project	16.82	— / -16.82	— / -16.82	3.96 / -12.86	18.14 / +1.32	=		c	c	_ c	c
salamander (<i>Ambystoma</i> californiense) FT, ST	made lacustrine feature in Corcoran Irrigation Water District	Construction	=	=	=	14.18 / + 14.18		=	=	_ c	_ c	_ c	_ c
		Project	15.12	— / -15.1	<0.01 / -15.1	4.46 / -10.64 ^D	6.77 / -8.34 ^D	2.40 / +2.38	4.93 / +4.91	_ c	_ c	_ c	_ c
	AGS, PAS, VOW surrounding vernal pools/seasonal wetlands in Corcoran Irrigation Water District ^D	Construction	0.02	0.01 / -0.01	— / -0.02	2.32 / +2.30 ⁰	0.05 / +0.03 ^D			c	c	c	c
Blunt-nosed leopard	ASC, AGS, BAR,	Project	104.77	_ c	_ c	_ c	c	c	_ c	33.67 / -71.10	_ ^c	c	c
lizard (<i>Gambelia</i> [= <i>Crotaphytus</i>] <i>sila</i>) FE, SE/FP	VRI	Construction	2.19	_ c	c	_ °	_ ^c	c	c	2.07 / -0.12	c	c	_с
Golden eagle (Aquila chrysaetos)	CRP, FEW, IRH,	Project	2521.68	510.55 / +42.60	539.99 / +72.04	556.22 / +88.27	603.91 / +135.96	333.54 / +76.27	326.59 / +69.33	282.16 / -43.47	318.67 / - 170.25	279.96 / -31.51	260.63 / -50.84
FP	PAS, URB, VRI, VOW	Construction	1213.19	85.21 / -328.08	75.88 / -337.41	94.38 / -318.91	142.59 / -270.70	222.76 / + 15.67	202.37 / -4.73	82.62 / +31.71	92.68 / -30.66	271.65 / +2.13	293.99 / +24.46

Attachment 2
Comparison of Impacts on Special-Status Wildlife Species by Alternative

							High Speed T	rain Altarnativ	105				
Special Status	CWHR							rain Alternativ	(C)				
Special-Status Wildlife Species (Common Name/Scientific	Vegetation Community or Wildlife		BNSF Impact	Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco- Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid
Name/Status)		Impact Type	Acreage			Impact A	Acreage / Differe	ence Compared	I to Correspon	ding BNSF Are	ea ^a		
Swainson's hawk (Buteo swainsoni)	AGS, BAR, CRP, IRH, PAS, URB,	Project	2467.46	510.55 / +42.60	539.99 / +72.04	556.22 / +88.27	603.91 / +135.96	333.54 / +76.27	326.59 / +69.33	274.48 / -9.82	318.67 / - 170.25	268.27 / -30.32	248.93 / -49.66
ST	VRI, VOW	Construction	1210.07	85.21 / -328.08	75.88 / -337.41	94.38 / -318.91	142.59 / -270.70	222.76 / + 15.67	202.37 / -4.73	82.62 / +32.29	92.68 / -30.66	268.97 / + 1.99	291.30 / +24.32
Western snowy	LAC	Project	57.27	0.12 / -18.07	0.12 / -18.06	4.26 / -13.92	19.83 / +1.65	8.85 / -0.49	8.73 / -0.60	26.79 / +0.09	1.71 / -0.41	=	=
plover (Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus) FT, CSC		Construction	7.38			15.79 / + 15.79	1.76 / +1.76	— / -0.03	0.01 / -0.02	1.60 / -3.67	0.49 / -1.60		
White-tailed kite (Elanus leucurus)	ASC, AGS, CRP, BAR, DOR, DGR, EOR, FEW, IRH,	Project	4583.01	927.90 / -267.53	989.03 / -206.40	934.00 / -261.43	1056.66 / -138.76	411.37 / -1.65	452.62 / +39.59	552.27 / -77.24	733.93 / - 254.07	278.32 / -32.00	258.99 / -51.33
FP	IRF, IGR, URB, VRI, VIN, VOW	Construction	2178.78	154.29 / -431.10	147.35 / -438.05	159.11 / -426.28	218.84 / -366.55	380.53 / + 15.25	366.22 / +0.94	157.25 / +3.57	392.11 / - 254.56	271.57 / +2.15	293.90 / +24.48
American peregrine falcon	FEW, IGR, IRH,	Project	3022.59	703.66 / -72.90	731.97 / -44.58	714.01 / -62.55	815.47 / +38.92	421.58 / +11.99	397.34 / -12.25	358.32 / +10.56	367.87 / - 140.52	277.60 / -28.64	258.86 / -47.38
(Falco peregrinus anatum) Delisted, SE/FP	LAC, RIV, URB, VRI, VOW	Construction	1296.02	106.11 / -371.47	99.00 / -378.58	126.35 / -351.23	163.79 / -313.78	225.04 / +14.78	204.29 / -5.97	86.13 / +29.94	101.79 / -25.66	271.58 / +1.37	293.86 / +23.65
Greater sandhill		Project	1666.98	487.07 / -173.92	515.41 / -145.58	497.29 / -163.70	593.38 / -67.60	227.18 / -30.23	262.01 / +4.60	330.02 / +51.24	280.87 / +75.73	9.93 / +1.94	6.99 / -1.00
crane (<i>Grus Canadensis</i> <i>tabida</i>) ST/FP	FEW, IGR, IRH, IRF, LAC, VRI	Construction	641.72	72.08 / -269.87	62.28 / -279.67	89.38 / -252.58	132.03 / -209.92	173.04 / +8.46	183.21 / +18.62	81.80 / +37.04	38.87 / +20.19	34.73 / -4.12	37.68 / -1.17
Bald eagle		Project	470.61	57.83 / +0.95	66.05 / +9.18	74.19 / +17.31	111.59 / +54.71	130.78 / +72.44	137.50 / +79.16	148.85 / -37.83	34.84 / -14.39	32.12 / +11.92	29.71 / +9.51
(Haliaeetus leucocephalus) Delisted, SE/FP	LAC, RIV, VRI, VOW	Construction	324.07	18.29 / -71.15	14.05 / -75.38	34.09 / -55.35	12.41 / -77.03	10.90 / +5.14	3.75 / -2.01	6.76 / -2.01	6.97 / +1.67	194.53 / -7.90	197.50 / -4.93
	ASC, AGS, BAR,	Project	184.12	_ c	c	_ c	c	_ c	_ c	59.35 / -60.04	26.30 / -13.21	33.79 / +8.56	30.78 / +5.56
Joaquin) antelope squirrel (<i>Ammospermophilus</i> nelsoni) ST	PAS	Construction	206.00	c	_ c	_ c	_ c	_ o	_ c	2.63 / +0.43	3.62 / +1.47	194.30 / -7.35	197.32 / -4.33
	AGS, RIV, VRI	Project	0.21	_ c	c	_ c	c	_ c	_ c	_ c	_ c	0.70 / +0.49	0.70 / +0.49
astutus)		Construction	0.10	_ c	c	c	c	_ c	_ c	_ c	_ c	0.30 / +0.21	0.30 / +0.21
	ASC, AGS, BAR,	Project	28.16	2.50 / +2.50	2.85 / +2.85	2.50 / +2.50	2.85 / +2.85	— ^c	_ c	— c	_ c	_ ^c	c
(Dipodomys nitratoides exilis) FE, SE	PAS, VOW	Construction	4.52	0.17 / -4.32	0.05 / -4.44	0.17 / -4.32	0.05 / -4.44	c	c	c	c	_ c	c

Attachment 2
Comparison of Impacts on Special-Status Wildlife Species by Alternative

							High-Sneed T	rain Alternativ	/es				
Special-Status Wildlife Species (Common Name/Scientific	CWHR Vegetation Community or Wildlife		BNSF Impact	Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco- Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid
Name/Status)	Association	Impact Type	Acreage			Impact /	Acreage / Differe	ence Compared	d to Correspon	ding BNSF Are	ea ^a		
Tipton kangaroo rat	ASC, AGS, BAR,	Project	284.54	c	_ c	c	c	_ c	_ c	117.68 / -75.34	27.68 / -12.87	33.79 / +8.56	30.78 / +5.56
(Dipodomys nitratoides nitratoides) FE, SE	PAS, VOW	Construction	208.73	_ c	c	c	_ c	c	c	3.84 / +0.60	4.53 / +2.38	194.30 / -7.35	197.32 / -4.33
San Joaquin kit fox	Natural (ASC,	Project	424.34	49.64 / +14.44	57.80 / +22.60	57.43 / +22.23	81.01 / +45.82	101.88 / +67.25	113.21 / +78.58	117.68 / -75.34	27.68 / -12.87	33.79 / +8.56	30.78 / +5.56
(Vulpes macrotis mutica)	AGS, BAR, PAS, VOW)	Construction	310.03	13.78 / -79.22	8.82 / -84.18	14.36 / -78.64	5.87 / -87.13	8.59 / +5.65	1.55 / -1.39	3.84 / +0.60	4.53 / +2.38	194.30 / -7.35	197.32 / -4.33
FE, ST		Project	2721.08	670.35 / -283.41	726.13 / -227.63	665.90 / -287.87	765.42 / -188.34	151.65 / -85.83	204.69 / -32.79	399.39 / +35.85	549.80 / -63.09		
	DGR, DOR, EOR, IGR, IRF, IRH, VIN)	Construction	1458.41	108.52 / -332.78	104.29 / -337.01	109.48 / -331.82	175.20 / -266.10	328.06 / + 7.34	345.44 / +24.72	150.07 / + 11.08	324.22 / - 201.50	=	=
	Bakersfield (URB)	Project	239.23				=	=				197.33 / -41.90	182.83 / -56.39
		Construction	61.23		-		-	-				69.97 / +8.75	89.65 / +28.42
Other Special-Statu													T
Kern brook lamprey (Lampetra hubbsi)	Friant-Kern Canal (Bakersfield)	Project	0.11							-		0.40 / +0.29	0.40 / +0.29
CSC		Construction	0.09	-	-	=	-	-				0.07 / -0.03	0.07 / -0.03
Western spadefoot	ASC, AGS, FEW,	Project	406.32	53.78 / +19.38	62.24 / +27.83	60.13 / +25.73	80.72 / +46.31	95.32 / +54.08	120.07 / +78.84	129.27 / -70.92	30.38 / -3.61	24.69 / +1.68	22.26 / -0.75
toad (Spea [= Scaphiopus] hammondii) CSC	RIV, VOW	Construction	62.19	17.29 / + 15.16	12.77 / +10.64	17.39 / +15.25	9.64 / +7.50	4.85 / +0.73	3.34 / -0.78	5.15 / +1.32	4.65 / +3.43	37.45 / -2.74	40.44 / +0.25
Western pond turtle (Actinemys	AGS, FEW, LAC, PAS, RIV, URB,	Project	1937.42	282.37 / -7.70	290.12 / +0.04	284.74 / -5.33	329.40 / +39.33	262.01 / +68.72	267.65 / +74.36	183.72 / -83.02	191.29 / - 183.07	264.41 / -36.34	245.69 / -55.06
[= Clemmysl Emys] marmorata) CSC	VRI, VOW	Construction	492.33	49.97 / -14.36	47.30 / -17.03	69.13 / +4.81	49.41 / -14.92	48.73 / +2.96	22.86 / -22.91	10.09 / -9.87	70.33 / -52.70	113.38 / +5.53	135.64 / +27.79
Silvery legless lizard	VRI, VOW	Project	2.90	3.30 / +2.24	3.79 / +2.73	3.30 / +2.24	3.79 / +2.73	0.47 / -0.04	0.50 / 0.00	0.47 / -0.66		0.70 / +0.49	0.70 / +0.49
(<i>Anniella pulchra</i> <i>pulchra</i>) CSC		Construction	0.81	0.91 / +0.59	0.99 / +0.66	0.91 / +0.59	0.99 / +0.66	0.08 / -0.04	0.29 / +0.17	0.02 / -0.24	=	0.30 / +0.21	0.30 / +0.21
San Joaquin	ASC, AGS, PAS,	Project	376.78	49.11 / +15.20	57.99 / +24.08	51.04 / +17.14	73.85 / +39.95	76.20 / +48.33	105.52 / +77.65	118.15 / -75.99	27.68 / -3.44	19.66 / +0.86	16.68 / -2.12
whipsnake (<i>Masticophis</i> <i>flagellum ruddocki</i>) CSC	VRI, VOW	Construction	<i>58.20</i>	14.62 / +8.27	9.55 / +3.20	15.27 / +8.92	6.86 / +0.50	2.70 / +1.14	1.72 / +0.16	3.85 / +0.35	4.53 / +3.45	36.32 / -2.96	39.32 / +0.04

Attachment 2
Comparison of Impacts on Special-Status Wildlife Species by Alternative

							High-Speed T	rain Alternativ	/es				
Special-Status Wildlife Species (Common Name/Scientific	Community or		BNSF Impact	Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco- Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid
Name/Status)		Impact Type				Impact A	Acreage / Differe	ence Compare	d to Correspon	ding BNSF Are	e a ª		
Coast (California) horned lizard	ASC, AGS, VRI, VOW	Project	262.40	— / -13.88	— / -13.88	4.37 / -9.52	6.67 / -7.21	76.20 / +49.23	103.46 / +76.49	117.67 / -70.79	_ c	3.73 / +3.38	0.70 / +0.34
(Phrynosoma coronatum frontale)	VOV	Construction	30.37	<i>/-0.02</i>	<i>/-0.02</i>	2.32 / +2.30	0.05 / +0.03	2.70 / +1.14	1.58 / +0.01	3.85 / +0.60	c	20.77 / -2.70	23.77 / +0.29
Western burrowing owl	ASC, AGS, PAS, BAR, URB, VOW	Project	1900.33	266.13 / +10.46	272.29 / +16.61	271.24 / +15.56	298.61 / +42.94	259.26 / +83.31	249.49 / +73.55	152.47 / - 116.48	184.13 / - 190.98	279.26 / -32.00	259.93 / -51.34
(<i>Athene cunicularia</i>) CSC		Construction	725.24	45.20 / -104.11	42.20 / -107.12	49.06 / -100.26	42.76 / -106.56	52.38 / + 7.94	20.63 / -23.81	7.16 / -7.26	67.90 / -53.06	271.35 / +1.92	293.68 / +24.26
SPECIAL-STATUS	ASC, AGS, CRP,	Project	1697.35	498.24 / -158.75	528.39 / -128.60	498.88 / -158.11	584.64 / -72.35	218.33 / -30.64	255.34 / +6.37	310.97 / +13.45	276.42 / +77.08	19.66 / +0.86	16.68 / -2.12
RAPTOR SPECIES	PAS, VRI, DGR, IGR, IRH, IRF, VOW	Construction	638.97	72.43 / -275.08	62.39 / -285.11	73.93 / -273.57	130.35 / -217.15	173.04 / +8.49	183.34 / +18.79	80.2 / +40.13	36.56 / +20.88	36.32 / -2.96	39.32 / +0.04
SPECIAL-STATUS PASSERINE SPECIES	ASC, AGS, CRP, PAS, VRI, FEW,	Project	1836.22	515.01 / -177.67	546.03 / -146.65	518.77 / -173.91	622.59 / -70.09	246.76 / -26.32	281.19 / +8.11	349.43 / +13.91	283.58 / +75.56	30.63 / +3.04	28.25 / +0.65
PASSERINE SPECIES	LAC RIV DGR	Construction	661.01	76.36 / -272.80	66.76 / -282.40	93.10 / -256.06	136.01 / -213.15	175.27 / +8.01	185.40 / +18.14	83.12 / +37.18	39.00 / +20.17	39.01 / -3.60	41.96 / -0.65
SPECIAL-STATUS WADING BIRDS,	ASC, AGS, CRP, PAS, DGR, IGR,	Project	1833.32	511.71 / -179.91	542.24 / -149.38	515.47 / -176.15	618.80 / -72.83	246.29 / -26.28	280.69 / +8.11	348.96 / +14.57	283.58 / +75.56	29.93 / +2.54	27.54 / +0.16
SHOREBIRDS, AND DUCK SPECIES	IRH, IRF, RIV, FEW, LAC	Construction	660.20	75.44 / -273.39	65.77 / -283.06	92.18 / -256.65	135.03 / -213.81	175.19 / +8.05	185.11 / +17.97	83.10 / +37.43	39.00 / +20.17	38.71 / -3.81	41.66 / -0.86
Pallid bat		Project	3518.84	730.68 / -265.57	760.59 / -235.66	716.90 / -279.35	809.47 / -186.78	393.88 / +12.15	404.13 / +22.41	436.57 / +37.67	440.38 / -67.99	279.02 / -28.92	260.24 / -47.70
(Antrozous pallidus) CSC	CRP, DGR, IGR, IRH, IRF, PAS, RIV, URB, VRI, VIN, VOW	Construction	1392.47	105.95 / -414.64	98.14 / -422.45	107.56 / -413.04	164.93 / -355.66	208.98 / +6.37	204.20 / +1.59	155.10 / +31.94	92.00 / -35.63	263.38 / +1.90	285.70 / +24.23
Dulzura pocket	AGS, VOW	Project	66.06	_ c	c	c	c	_ c	_ c	44.17 / -21.89	_ c	_ c	c
mouse (Chaetodipus californicus femoralis) CSC		Construction	1.36	c	c	c	c	c	c	2.63 / +1.27	c	c	c
Townsend's big- eared bat	CRP, IGR, IRH,	Project	3651.50	752.66 / -222.38	782.09 / -192.95	755.44 / -219.60	849.40 / -125.65	412.73 / +11.58	409.10 / +7.95	418.42 / +22.75	427.84 / - 144.19	287.33 / -29.71	268.55 / -48.50
(<i>Corynorhinus</i> townsendii)	IRF, PAS, VRI, URB, VIN RIV, VOW	Construction	1430.61	108.04 / -419.79	101.00 / -426.83	112.19 / -415.64	171.75 / -356.08	225.04 / +14.81	204.58 / -5.64	154.8 / +29.20	102.09 / -35.72	273.17 / +2.53	295.50 / +24.86

Comparison of Impacts on Special-Status Wildlife Species by Alternative

							High-Speed 1	Train Alternativ	/es				
Special-Status Wildlife Species (Common Name/Scientific	CWHR Vegetation Community or Wildlife		BNSF Impact	Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco- Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid
Name/Status)	Association	Impact Type	<u>-</u>			Impact	Acreage / Differe	ence Compared	d to Correspon	ding BNSF Are	e a ª		
Western mastiff bat	ASC, AGS, BAR,	Project	1217.47	— / -69.19	— / -69.19	— / -69.19	— / -69.19	c	_ c	_ c	144.40 / -10.41	279.96 / -31.51	260.63 / -50.84
(Eumops perotis californicus) CSC	CRP, FEW, IGR, IRH, IRF, PAS, URB, VRI, VIN, VOW	Construction	436.40	— / -7.68	— / -7.68	— / -7.68	— / -7. <i>68</i>	c	c	c	71.50 / +50.55	271.65 / +2.13	293.99 / +24.46
Western red bat (Lasiurus blossevillii)	LAC, PAS, RIV,	Project	2283.24	467.08 / +50.90	493.30 / +77.12	504.32 / +88.13	553.89 / +137.70	325.98 / +77.53	344.25 / +95.80	277.53 / -37.12	220.05 / - 198.66	264.41 / -36.34	245.69 / -55.06
CSC	URB, VOW, VRI	Construction	572.01	80.60 / -36.72	74.86 / -42.46	105.16 / -12.16	143.45 / +26.13	72.54 / +2.70	67.34 / -2.50	12.49 / -7.55	73.46 / -49.78	113.38 / +5.53	135.64 / +27.79
Tulare grasshopper	ASC, AGS, VRI	Project	335.49	37.23 / +18.39	44.80 / +25.97	44.61 / +25.77	62.68 / +43.85	76.20 / +49.23	103.46 / +76.49	118.08 / -71.95	27.68 / -3.44	18.02 / +0.38	15.04 / -2.61
mouse (Onychomys torridus tularensis) CSC		Construction	52.52	14.28 / +13.47	9.42 / +8.61	14.93 / + 14.13	6.75 / +5.95	2.70 / +1.14	1.58 / +0.01	3.85 / +0.35	4.53 / +3.45	36.23 / -2.94	39.23 / +0.06
American badger		Project	427.24	51.05 / +14.79	59.70 / +23.44	58.84 / +22.58	82.92 / +46.66	102.35 / +67.21	113.71 / +78.58	118.15 / -75.99	27.68 / -12.87	34.49 / +9.05	31.48 / +6.05
(<i>Taxidea taxus</i>) CSC	PAS, VRI, VOW	Construction	310.84	14.70 / -78.63	9.81 / -83.52	15.27 / -78.05	6.86 / -86.47	8.67 / +5.61	1.84 / -1.22	3.85 / +0.35	4.53 / +2.38	194.61 / -7.14	197.63 / -4.12

Notes:

— = No impact or not applicable (e.g., alterative does not overlap species range)

(P) = Impacts could occur, elderberry shrubs have not been identified but could occur in natural areas where permission to enter was not available.

Impact calculations in this table include alignment alternatives and station alternatives, but do not include HMF alternatives.

All impacts were calculated based on 15% engineering design construction footprint.

^a The "Difference Compared to Corresponding BNSF Area" represents the difference in impact acreages between an alternative alignment and its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative; negative (-) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in fewer impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative; negative (-) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in fewer impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative.

Represents the number of locations where elderberry shrubs may be removed.

^c Alternative does not overlap species range.

Description Habitat was recently converted to a solar energy project and annual grassland habitat is low very limited and fragmented within the construction and project footprint.

Impacts on all special-status wildlife species are based on the CWHR determinations of habitats and range, except as follows:

{vernal pool tadpole shrimp and vernal pool fairy shrimp} Disturbances based on vernal pools/seasonal wetlands in the Wetland Study Area. Indirect impacts are calculated within a 250-foot buffer of the project footprint, which includes areas of permanent and temporary impacts. {elderberry longhorn beetle} Data presented as number of identified elderberry shrubs within Plant Study Area.

{California tiger salamander} Potential aquatic habitat limited to the Corcoran Irrigation Water District; potential upland habitat determined by identifying associated vegetation communities within a 1.24-mile radius of potential aquatic habitat.

{Fresno kangaroo rat} Range limited to the San Joaquin and Kings rivers based on distribution data provided by Brian Cypher, ESRP (Cypher 2010, Personal Communication) and areas potentially suitable to support this species within that range.

{Tipton kangaroo rat} Range data taken from the Endangered Species Recovery Program distribution data. Tipton Kangaroo Rat (Dipodomys nitratoides nitratoides) 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation (USFWS 2010)

{San Joaquin kit fox} Disturbances are provided separately for urban communities in the vicinity of Bakersfield. Range is based on CWHR.

{Kern brook lamprey} Impacts are based on disturbances to the Friant-Kern Canal in Bakersfield.

{silvery legless lizard} Potential habitat determined to be all VRI habitat in the Habitat Study Area.

{coast horned lizard } The coast horned lizard was observed in the Allensworth Bypass Alternative during the 2010 field surveys; due to these observations, the species' range has been extended beyond the range map provided by the CWHR to include both the Corcoran Bypass and Allensworth Bypass alternatives because of the presence of natural habitat areas in these alternatives.



Attachment 2
Comparison of Impacts on Special-Status Wildlife Species by Alternative

							High-Speed T	rain Alternativ	/es							
Special-Status	CWHR				Hanford West	Hanford	Hanford West				Wasco-					
Wildlife Species	Vegetation			Hanford West		West Bypass		Corcoran	Corcoran	Allensworth	Shafter	Bakersfield	Bakersfield			
(Common	Community or				Bypass 1 Modified 2 Modified Elevated Bypass Bypass South Hyb											
Name/Scientific	Wildlife		BNSF Impact	Буразз 1	Wiodilica		- Iviounicu	Licvated	Буразз	Буразз	Буразз	304111	Hybria			
Name/Status)	Association	Impact Type	Acreage			Impact /	Acreage / Differe	ence Compared	d to Correspor	nding BNSF Are	a ^a					
Acronyms and Abbreviati	ions:	•		•		Federal Status										
AGS: Annual grassland (i	includos vornal nools)	N.		FE – Endangered												
ASC: Alkali desert scrub				FT – Threatened												
BAR: Barren	(inolados vortidi pools	<i>.</i> ,		CH – Critical Habitat designated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service												
CRP: Cropland						BCC – Birds of	Conservation Concern d	esignated by the U.S	S. Fish and Wildlife	Service			ļ			
DGR: Dryland grain crop																
DOR: Deciduous orchard						State Status SE – Endangere	o.d						ļ			
EOR: Evergreen orchard						ST – Threatene										
FEW: Fresh emergent we IGR: Irrigated grain crop							a Species of Special Con	cern designated by	the California Depa	rtment of Fish and Ga	ame					
IRF: Irrigated row and fi						FP - Fully Prote	ected species designated	d by the California D	epartment of Fish a	ind Game						
IRH: Irrigated hayfield				FP – Fully Protected species designated by the California Department of Fish and Game												
LAC: Lacustrine																
PAS: Pasture	_															
VRI: Valley foothill riparia	an												ļ			

Attachment 3
Comparison of Impacts on Special-Status Plant Communities by Alternative

						High-S _l	peed Train Alter	natives				
Special-Status Plant Community Type (Common Name/Scientific		BNSF	Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco-Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid
Name/Status)	Impact Type	Impact Acreage			Im	pact Acreage / I	Difference Comp	ared to Corresp	onding BNSF Are	a ^a		
Valley Foothill Riparian (CFGC 1600) ^b	Project	2.90	1.41 / +0.35	1.90 / +0.84	1.41 / +0.35	1.90 / +0.84	0.47 / -0.04	0.50 / -<0.01	0.47 / -0.66	_	0.70 / +0.49	0.70 / +0.49
valley Footiliii Kipanan (CFGC 1000)	Construction	0.81	0.91 / +0.59	0.99 / +0.66	0.91 / +0.59	0.99 / +0.66	0.08 / -0.04	0.29 / +0.17	0.02 / -0.24	_	0.30 / +0.21	0.30 / +0.21
Iodine bush scrub/Allenrolfea occidentalis Shrubland Alliance	Project	6.31	-	-	_		=	=	2.90 / -3.42	_		
G4, S3	Construction	-	-	-	-	_	=	=	-	-		_
Alkali goldenbush scrub/ Isocoma	Project	< 0.01	-	-			=	=	 / -<0.01			
acradenia Shrubland Alliance Not ranked	Construction		=	=	=		=	=	=	=		
Bush seepweed scrub/Suaeda moquinii	Project	15.81	0.24 / +0.24	=	=	=		=	0.54 / -13.63			
30, 33.2	Construction	0.27	=	=	=	=	=	=	<i>-/-0.27</i>		=	
Saltgrass flats/Distichlis spicata	Project	2.48					0.14 / +0.14	0.04 / +0.04	2.81 / +0.38			
Herbaceous Alliance G5, S4	Construction	0.31				=	0.01 / -0.01	0.01 / -0.01	0.06 / +0.06	=		
Fremont cottonwood forest/ <i>Populus</i>	Project	0.37				=		=	— / -0.37		=	
<i>fremontii</i> Forest Alliance G4, S3.2	Construction		=	=	=		=	=	=	=		
Black willow thickets/Salix goodingii	Project	4.73					0.46 / -0.07	0.44 / -0.09	1.80 / +1.80		0.76 / -3.43	0.76 / -3.43
Woodland Alliance G3, S3	Construction	0.91		=	=	-	0.08 / -0.04	0.10 / -0.02	0.15 / +0.15	=	-/ -0.80	<i>-/-0.80</i>
Red willow thickets/ Salix laevigata	Project	0.28	=	=	=	=	=	=	— / -0.28	=	=	
Woodland Alliance G3, S3	Construction	=	=	=	=	=		=	=		=	
Potential suitable habitat that could	Project	380.57	42.70 / +0.32	50.96 / +8.59	55.26 / +12.88	88.31 / +45.94	112.01 / +74.17	125.77 / +87.93	156.26 / -29.31	32.48 / +9.98	42.65 / +11.69	39.64 / +8.68
support special-status plant communities	Construction	241.09	15.13 / +11.36	10.43 / +6.65	31.20 / +27.43	8.64 / +4.86	9.78 / +4.80	2.61 / -2.38	64.97 / +60.83	24.95 / +14.33	202.03 / -6.71	205.05 / -3.68
otal Impact on Special Status	Project	410.56	42.94 / +0.56	50.96 / +8.59	55.26 / +12.88	88.31 / +45.94	112.61 / +74.24	126.25 / +87.88	164.32 / -44.82	32.48 / +9.98	43.41 / +8.26	40.40 / +5.25
	Construction	242.59	15.13 / +11.36	10.43 / +6.65	31.20 / +27.43	8.64 / +4.86	9.87 / +4.75	2.72 / -2.40	65.18 / +60.77	24.95 / +14.33	202.03 / -7.50	205.05 / -4.48

Comparison of Impacts on Special-Status Plant Communities by Alternative

						High-Sp	eed Train Alteri	natives				
				Hanford West		Hanford West						
Special-Status Plant Community			Hanford West	31	Hanford West	Bypass 2	Corcoran	Corcoran		Wasco-Shafter	Bakersfield	Bakersfield
Type (Common Name/Scientific		BNSF	Bypass 1	Modified	Bypass 2	Modified	Elevated	Bypass	Bypass	Bypass	South	Hybrid
Name/Status)	Impact Type	Impact Acreage			Im	pact Acreage / D	ifference Comp	ared to Correspo	onding BNSF Are	a ^a		

Notes:

- = No impact or not applicable

The "Difference Compared to Corresponding BNSF Area" represents the difference in impact acreages between an alternative alignment and its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative: positive (+) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in greater impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative; negative (-) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in fewer impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative.

Valley Foothill Riparian Impacts presented in this table are calculated as the areas above the ordinary high water mark to the outer extent of the riparian vegetation dripline. This calculation does not include riparian areas, below or overhanging the ordinary high water mark

Excludes Riparian area because of overall with other special-status plants communities.

Impact calculations in this table include alignment alternatives and station alternatives, but do not include HMF alternatives.

All impacts were calculated based on 15% engineering design construction footprint.

Global Rank

- G1 = Less than 6 viable element occurrences (EOs) OR less than 2,000 acres.
- G2 = 6-20 EOs OR 2,000-10,000 acres.
- G3 = 21-100 EOs OR 10,000-50,000 acres.
 G4 = Apparently secure; this rank is clearly lower than G3 but factors exist to cause some concern; i.e., there is some threat, or somewhat narrow habitat.
- G5 = Population or stand demonstrably secure to ineradicable due to being commonly found in the world.

State Rank

- S1 = Less than 6 EOs OR less than 2,000 acres
- S1.1 = very threatened S1.2 = threatened
- S1.3 = no current threats known
- S2 = 6-20 EOs OR 2,000-10,000 acres
- S2.1 = very threatened
- S2.2 = threatened
- S2.3 = no current threats known S3 = 21-100 EOs OR 10,000-50,000 acres
- S3.1 = very threatened
- S3.2 = threatened
- S3.3 = no current threats known
- S4 Apparently secure within California; this rank is clearly lower than S3 but factors exist to cause some concern; i.e., there is some threat, or somewhat narrow habitat. NO THREAT RANK. S5 Demonstrably secure to ineradicable in California. NO THREAT RANK.

Attachment 4
Comparison of Impacts on Wetlands and Other Waters by Alternative

						High-Speed	I Train Alternati	ves				
Wetlands and Other Waters (TYPE/HST water		BNSF Impact	Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco-Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid
type)	Impact Type ^A	Acreage			Imp	act Acreage / Dif	ference Compar	ed to Correspor	nding BNSF Area	a ^B		
	Project	16.79	0.27 / +0.25	0.27 / +0.25	0.41 / +0.4	0.39 / +0.38	1.21 / -0.66	1.01 / -0.87	6.02 / -7.12	=	0.51 / -0.11	0.51 / -0.11
AVETI ANDC TOTAL	Construction	1.66	0.01 / +0.01	=	0.05 / +0.05	0.04 / +0.04	1.91 / +1.12	0.35 / -0.45	0.03 / -0.55	=	=	=
WETLANDS TOTAL	Indirect Bisect	14.60.	=	=	=	=	— / -0.01	— / -0.01	11.54 / -3.05	=	=	=
	Indirect	84.57	2.26 / +1.26	2.96 / +1.96	4.42 / +3.43	5.27 / +4.28	6.68 / -0.44	1.73 / -5.39	19.92 / -45.84	=	0.09 / -0.04	0.09 / -0.04
	Project	0.01	— / -0.01	— / -0.01	— / -0.01	— / -0.01				=		
Emergent wetland	Construction	-	=	=	=	=	=	-	-	=	-	=
	Indirect	0.60	— / -0.60	0.70 / +0.09	1.75 / +1.15	2.59 / +1.99		=		=	_	=
	Project	4.54	0.27 / +0.27	0.27 / +0.27	0.41 / +0.41	0.39 / +0.39	1.2 / -0.67	1.01 / -0.87	0.41 / -0.52	=	0.51 / -0.11	0.51 / -0.11
Seasonal wetland	Construction	1.66	0.01 / +0.01	=	0.05 / +0.05	0.04 / +0.04	1.91 / +1.12	0.35 / -0.45	0.03 / -0.55	=		
	Indirect	67.41	2.26 / +1.87	2.26 / +1.87	2.67 / +2.28	2.68 / +2.29	6.68 / -0.44	1.72 / -5.41	8.69 / -40.51	=	0.09 / -0.04	0.09 / -0.04
	Project	12.24	=	=	=	=	0.01 / +0.01	=	5.61 / -6.6	=	=	
Vernal pools and swales	Construction		=	=	=	=	=	-		=	=	=
verriai poois ariu swaies	Indirect Bisect	14.60	=	=	=	=	— / -0.01	— / -0.01	11.54 / -3.05	=	-	=
	Indirect	16.56	=	-	=	=	=	0.01 / +0.01	11.23 / -5.33	=	-	=
	Project	122.80	17.77 / -0.07	38.18 / +20.34	17.38 / -0.47	20.96 / +3.11	28.38 / +3.14	14.33 / -10.92	38.46 / +0.46	7.92 / -0.02	11.46 / +3.19	12.11 / +3.84
OTHER WATERS OF THE U.S. TOTAL	Construction	29.71	5.62 / -2.85	7.1 / -1.37	7.38 / -1.08	23.04 / +14.57	1.95 / -1.1	3.17 / +0.12	2.91 / -3.31	1.87 / -1.39	2.43 / -0.85	2.36 / -0.92
	Indirect	284.15	40.96 / -21.71	76.14 / +13.48	39.08 / -23.58	73.27 / +10.61	30.4 / +7.13	30.48 / +7.21	136.68 / +27.03	9.54 / -10.68	29.79 / -8.08	30.11 / -7.76
	Project	64.39	16.9 / +6.65	16.31 / +6.07	15.99 / +5.74	14.16 / +3.91	19.03 / +4.36	8.97 / -5.7	11.44 / +0.52	2.99 / -0.08	5.83 / +3.04	6.44 / +3.65
Canals/Ditches	Construction	9.82	5.12 / +3.69	4.84 / +3.41	6.93 / +5.49	6.79 / +5.36	1.92 / -0.67	3.06 / +0.47	1.28 / +0.58	0.19 / -0.05	1.03 / +0.62	0.99 / +0.58
	Indirect	73.96	24.09 / +11.06	25.02 / +11.99	24.29 / +11.25	24.55 / +11.52	18.6 / +5.62	18.35 / +5.37	24.45 / +1.58	4.53 / -2.65	11.5 / +2.14	12.13 / +2.78
	Project	51.85	0.20 / -4.36	19.93 / +15.36	0.73 / -3.83	4.88 / +0.32	9.07 / -0.5	5.22 / -4.35	26.79 / —	4.93 / +0.06	3.77 / +0.53	3.81 / +0.56
Lacustrine	Construction	18.92	0.03 / -6.82	1.78 / -5.06	<i>— / -6.85</i>	15.79 / +8.94	<i>-/-0.45</i>	0.06 / -0.39	1.6 / -3.8	1.68 / -1.34	1.00 / -1.2	0.97 / -1.23
	Indirect	162.72	9.13 / -16.47	42.67 / +17.06	7.25 / -18.36	40.45 / +14.84	9.98 / +1.32	11.17 / +2.52	110.52 / +25.36	5.00 / -8.02	4.24 / -4.08	3.92 / -4.39

Attachment 4 Comparison of Impacts on Wetlands and Other Waters by Alternative

						High-Speed	l Train Alternati	ves						
Wetlands and Other Waters (TYPE/HST water		BNSF Impact	Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco-Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid		
type)	Impact Type ^A	Acreage		Impact Acreage / Difference Compared to Corresponding BNSF Area ^B										
	Project	6.56	0.67 / -2.37	1.94 / -1.09	0.66 / -2.38	1.92 / -1.11	0.28 / -0.72	0.14 / -0.86	0.23 / -0.06	=	1.86 / -0.38	1.86 / -0.38		
Seasonal riverine	Construction	0.97	0.47 / +0.29	0.47 / +0.29	0.46 / +0.27	0.46 / +0.27	0.03 / +0.03	0.05 / +0.05	0.03 / -0.09	=	0.40 / -0.27	0.40 / -0.27		
	Indirect	47.47	7.73 / -16.29	8.45 / -15.58	7.55 / -16.47	8.27 / -15.75	1.83 / +0.19	0.96 / -0.68	1.71 / +0.1	=	14.06 / -6.15	14.06 / -6.15		
	Project	139.59	18.04 / +0.18	38.45 / +20.59	17.78 / -0.07	21.35 / +3.49	29.6 / +2.48	15.34 / -11.78	44.48 / -6.66	7.92 / -0.02	11.97 / +3.08	12.63 / +3.73		
TOTAL INADACTS	Construction	31.37	5.63 / -2.83	7.10 / -1.37	7.44 / -1.03	23.08 / +14.61	3.86 / +0.02	3.52 / -0.33	2.94 / -3.85	1.87 / -1.39	2.43 / -0.85	2.36 / -0.92		
TOTAL IMPACTS	Indirect Bisect	14.60	=	=	=	=	— / -0.01	— / -0.01	11.54 / -3.05	=	=	=		
	Indirect	368.72	43.21 / -20.45	79.1 / +15.44	43.51 / -20.15	78.55 / +14.88	37.08 / +6.69	32.21 / +1.82	156.59 / -18.8	9.54 / -10.68	29.88 / -8.12	30.20 / -7.8		

Notes:

Impact calculations in this table include alignment alternatives and station alternatives, but do not include HMF alternatives.

All impacts were calculated based on 15% engineering design construction footprint.

^{- =} No impact, no change or not applicable

A Indirect impacts are calculated within a 250-foot buffer of the project footprint, which includes areas of permanent and temporary impacts.

The "Difference Compared to Corresponding BNSF Area" represents the difference in impact acreages between an alternative alignment and its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative: positive (+) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in greater impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative; negative (-) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in fewer impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative.

Comparison of Impacts on Conservation Areas by Alternative

		High-Speed Train Alternatives										
		BNSF Impact	Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco-Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid
Protected Land Type	Impact Type											
Recovery Plan for Upland Species of the San Joaquin Valley, California (Total)	Project	1,151.62	=	=	=	=	125.99 / -97.40	119.58 / -103.81	306.06 / -1.89	146.27 / +17.43	241.11 / -28.87	222.35 / -47.62
	Construction	682.43	=	=	-		348.41 / -1.75	359.93 / +9.78	151.56 / +135.38	35.17 / -13.61	266.15 / +1.31	288.44 / +23.60
Recovery Plan for Upland Species of the San Joaquin Valley, California (Satellite Area)	Project	982.97					125.99 / -97.40	119.58 / -103.81	214.66 / -70.91	=	241.11 / -28.87	222.35 / -47.62
	Construction	642.05	=	=			348.41 / -1.75	359.93 / +9.78	6.78 / -4.18	=	266.15 / +1.31	288.44 / +23.60
Recovery Plan for Upland Species of the San Joaquin Valley, California (Linkage Area ^b)	Project	168.65			=		=	=	91.41 / +69.02	146.27 / +17.43	=	=
	Construction	40.38	=	=	=		=		144.78 / +139.57	35.17 / -13.61	=	=
Allensworth Ecological Reserve	Project	14.29	=		=		-	=	— / -14.29	=	=	=
	Construction	1.29	=	=	-		1	=	— / -1.29	=	=	=
Metropolitan Bakersfield Habitat Conservation Plan	Project	542.85					-	=	=	222.57 / +28.98	290.94 / -29.34	272.20 / -48.08
	Construction	285.04					-		=	12.18 / -51.36	274.35 / +1.48	296.63 / +23.77

Notes:

— = No impact or not applicable

Impact calculations in this table include alignment alternatives and station alternatives, but do not include HMF alternatives.

All impacts were calculated based on 15% engineering design construction footprint.

^a The "Difference Compared to Corresponding BNSF Area" represents the difference in impact acreages between an alternative alignment and its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative; positive (+) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in greater impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative; negative (-) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in fewer impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative.

b Linkage areas were mapped in the Recovery Plan for Upland Species of the San Joaquin Valley, California. The boundaries of these features are rough-landscape scaled approximations.



Comparison of Impacts on Protected Trees by Alternative

		High-Speed Train Alternatives										
		BNSF Impact	Hanford West Bypass 1	Hanford West Bypass 1 Modified	Hanford West Bypass 2	Hanford West Bypass 2 Modified	Corcoran Elevated	Corcoran Bypass	Allensworth Bypass	Wasco-Shafter Bypass	Bakersfield South	Bakersfield Hybrid
Protected Tree	Impact Type	Acreage	Impact Number / Difference Compared to Corresponding BNSF Area ^a									
Eucalyptus species	Project		=					=	=	=		=
	Construction	1	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	-	=
Landscape, Ornamental, Non-native	Project	72	6 / +6	15 / +15	6 / +6	15 / +15				— / -2	38 / +15	22 / -1
	Construction	16	3/+3	3/+3	3/+3	3/+3			_		3/0	8/+5
Oak species	Project	5	20 / +20	20 / +20	20 / +20	20 / +20	4 / +1	4 / +1		— / -2		
	Construction		6/+6	6/+6	6/+6	6/+6			=	=	-	=
Unknown species ^B	Project	117	29 / +19	29 / +19	29 / +19	29 / +19	8 / -3	8 / -3	2 / -4	39 / +37	48 / +21	31 / +4
	Construction	101	3/+1	2/0	3/+1	2/0	2/-6	 / -8	<i>-/-2</i>	_	4/-1	3/-2
TOTAL IMPACTS	Project	194	55 / +45	64 / +54	55 / +45	64 / +54	12 / -2	12 / -2	2 / -4	39 / +33	86 / +36	53 / +3
	Construction	117	12 / + 10	11/+9	12 / +10	11/+9	2/-6	-/-8	-/-2	=	7/-1	11 / +3

lotes.

— = No impact or not applicable

Impact calculations in this table include alignment alternatives and station alternatives, but do not include HMF alternatives.

All impacts were calculated based on 15% engineering design construction footprint.

A The "Difference Compared to Corresponding BNSF Area" represents the difference in impact acreages between an alternative alignment and its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative; negative (-) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in greater impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative; negative (-) differences indicate that the alternative alignment results in fewer impact acres than its corresponding segment in the BNSF Alternative.

^B Clumps of trees identified in the field as "numerous unknown" were estimated to represent 4 trees and counted within the "Unknown species" category.

